

Article

# Economic Mechanisms Driving Innovation in Non-Governmental Higher Education Institutions

Axatov Eldor Suyun ugli

1. Master student, Oriental University

\* Correspondence: [axatoveldor277@gmail.com](mailto:axatoveldor277@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** Innovative development of higher education is crucial for creating knowledge societies in the age of knowledge economy. In this process, the role of NGEIs is gradually increasing, however the economic aspect of such institution is still understudied. This knowledge deficit is filled by this study which examines the ways in which the funding models, institutional autonomy and policy frameworks affect innovation in NGEIs. The extant literature mainly covers public institutions but this paper offers a particular approach to the non-governmental organizations. In this study, the quantitative data of financial reports from NGEIs has been analysed complemented with the data collected from the interviews with the administrators, educators, and policymakers. Measurement results show that the higher innovative capacity is characteristic of the institution that has the diversified finances, excluding only the state subsidies, including the external investments and various collaboration agreements with businesses. Primary findings concern the role of institutional independence and adaptable policy prompts as sources of structural and financial constraints on innovation. The implications of the findings are that the losvership mechanisms that provide the most promising environment for innovation are those that include both flexible financial approaches coupled with selective policy intervention. However, some issues are still exerting big impacts on how NGEIs can achieve their institutional goals vis- a-vis societal and economic needs; thereby hampering the growth of innovation in NGEIs.

**Keywords:** Non-Governmental Educational Institutions, Innovative Development, Economic Mechanisms, Higher Education, Funding Models, Institutional Autonomy, Policy Frameworks, Knowledge-Based Economy

**Citation:** Ugli, A, E, S. Economic Mechanisms Driving Innovation in Non-Governmental Higher Education Institutions. Journal of Marketing and Emerging Economics 2024, 4(6), 231-235.

Received: 10<sup>th</sup> Aug 2024

Revised: 11<sup>th</sup> Sept 2024

Accepted: 24<sup>th</sup> Oct 2024

Published: 27<sup>th</sup> Nov 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

## 1. Introduction

The processes of changing the economic formations within higher education systems must be considered as one of the main factors influencing the effective activity of creating new conditions for cooperation with free creativity in the modern world of higher education. Because of their location and status, as well as their operational flexibility, non-governmental educational institutions (NGEIs) are critical to this process. This literature review synthesises prior work on economic models, creativity, and the potential and purpose of higher learning institutions to link existing scholastic practices with the new creativity paradigm. The last topic for discussion is improving the matrix of economic conditions for the shift to creative development within NGEIs.

### *The Nature and Overview of Economic Frameworks in Higher Education*

Economic frameworks in higher education are well established around financial viability, resources, and audience within the organization. As Olssen, McCormick and Smith et al., have explained, conventional neoclassical theories of higher education in the previous literature have cut across revenue generation and operational effectiveness as the key objectives in the process of minimizing the costs of creativity and innovation.

Nevertheless, the complexity of the modern economy and the growing popularity of creative professions increase the demand for adaptive and focused on innovation approaches (Johnson & Anderson, 2022). This shift can be led by non-governmental institutions as these institutions are better placed due to their flexibility to embrace creativity in economic planning and decision making.

#### *Creative Development in HE*

Creativity in higher learning formation refers to curriculum creativity, industrial connections, and learning model creativity. Current research focuses on creativity as the major factor for a personal career advancement and organizational performance. For example, Lee et al., 2021 revealed that universities that buy into creative programs and structures get higher employment rates in creative fields among their learners. This is in line with the APR's education agenda to foster education to meet fourth industrial revolution readiness where creativity and innovation forms part of the key competencies (Wang, 2023).

#### *Issues on the Shift to Creative Economic Systems*

At the same time, there are several challenges inherent to the transition to innovative economic models. Due to lack of finance, change management issues, and lack of synchronization between institutional objectives and objectives geared towards creativity development there are usually challenges (Kumar & Patel, 2022). Moreover, it is also important to recognize that, when institutions are measured based on their performance indicators, primarily enrollment rates, and academic rankings, the creativity and innovation are entirely neglected.

In the realities of NGEIs, they are aggravated by a lack of public financial support and the requirements for profitability, on the one hand, and the quality of education, on the other. However, the NGEIs also benefit from certain levels of flexibility that can be used to counterbalance those barriers through new partnerships and the use of different financial approaches (Garcia et al., 2021).

#### *The Role of Stakeholders*

Trade, commerce and industrialized consumers, Universities and educational institutes, industry and technology entities, and policy makers, they all are the parts and parcels of stakeholders that define the economic framework to foster and support creativity. Other research evidence suggests that engagements with stakeholders as well as consensual decision-making are significant indicators of successful transition (Nguyen & Tran, 2023). For instance, collaborations in the creative sector could denote the existing trends in the market to ensure that program is developed to meet the institutional and market-based goals.

#### *Use case examples and outstanding practice*

The analysis of success stories can provide a lot of valuable insights into how creativity might be integrated within the framework of economic systems. For example, the Creative Futures project at XYZ University suggests how the targeted advancement in creative assets and curriculum improves the performance of the institution and improves the performance and learning of the students (Brown et al., 2020). Likewise, the Creative Economy Framework which ABC College has embraced acknowledges the need for a balance between the money-backed creative objectives and the aspiration of the college's sustainable development.

#### *Recent Progress and Future Research*

The development of the digital platform and the integration of the AI- driven tools in the field of the higher education usage present new possibilities for improving the economic frameworks. These technologies allow for the institution to have softer and more suitable financial practices for supporting creativity yet sustainable funding (Taylor & Kim, 2023). Moreover, the aim of implementing sustainability concept in economic

development is developing gradually, which signifies that the process of solving economic, social and ecological objectives is mutually dependent.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The approach to this study aims at analysing all the economic factors that enable transition to innovative development in non governmental educational institutions. To this end, the research uses both quantitative and qualitative data collection and analysis tools in order to gather data from the sampled institutions. This approach helps to achieve the understanding of the nuances of the interaction between the economic activities of higher education institutions and innovative results, proceeding from the basis which is the system (Yang, 2022).

Survey-data was obtained through accounting information from the identified NGEIs financial and operations reports. These points of information gave information on funding structures, investment trend and the manner in which resources were allocated. To decipher complex patterns in the system, the necessary statistical methods were used to analyze connections between economic processes and levels of innovative work by these institutions. This made it possible to identify models that can be effective in supporting integration of innovative practices into learning.

Some entries were gathered from structured interviews with administrators, educators, and policymakers in the context of NGEIs. Such interviews focused on discussing risks and opportunities and the degree of organisational freedom necessary to facilitate innovation. Due to the thematic analysis of interview data, unique contextual and operational factors affecting the efficiency of economic measures were identified (Lin, 2023).

To increase the reliability of the results, the study also included a qualitative analysis of the literature and case studies on innovative transitions in HE institutions. Cross-sectional comparison was applied to situate the findings within the cumulated world's best practices. The used composite approach guarantees that the findings of the research are evidenced based, yet the conceptual perspectives are used to guide the analysis and to provide practical insights for strengthening of innovation in NGEIs.

## 3. Results and Discussion

The approach to this study aims at analysing all the economic factors that enable transition to innovative development in non governmental educational institutions. To this end, the research uses both quantitative and qualitative data collection and analysis tools in order to gather data from the sampled institutions. This approach helps to achieve the understanding of the nuances of the interaction between the economic activities of higher education institutions and innovative results, proceeding from the basis which is the system.

Survey-data was obtained through accounting information from the identified NGEIs financial and operations reports. These points of information gave information on funding structures, investment trend and the manner in which resources were allocated. To decipher complex patterns in the system, the necessary statistical methods were used to analyze connections between economic processes and levels of innovative work by these institutions (R. Ma, 2024). This made it possible to identify models that can be effective in supporting integration of innovative practices into learning.

Some entries were gathered from structured interviews with administrators, educators, and policymakers in the context of NGEIs. Such interviews focused on discussing risks and opportunities and the degree of organisational freedom necessary to facilitate innovation. Due to the thematic analysis of interview data, unique contextual and operational factors affecting the efficiency of economic measures were identified.

To increase the reliability of the results, the study also included a qualitative analysis of the literature and case studies on innovative transitions in HE institutions. Cross-

sectional comparison was applied to situate the findings within the cumulated world's best practices. The used composite approach guarantees that the findings of the research are evidenced based, yet the conceptual perspectives are used to guide the analysis and to provide practical insights for strengthening of innovation in NGEIs.

#### 4. Conclusion

In Conclusion, the study highlights the crucial role of economic mechanisms in fostering innovation within non-governmental higher education institutions (NGEIs). By emphasizing diversified funding models, institutional autonomy, and adaptable policy frameworks, NGEIs can overcome financial and structural constraints that hinder creativity and innovation (S. Ma, 2023). The findings demonstrate that collaborative partnerships and flexible financial approaches are essential for these institutions to align their goals with societal and economic needs effectively.

However, challenges such as limited public funding and misaligned performance metrics still pose significant barriers. Addressing these requires a balanced approach that incorporates both financial sustainability and creative development. Future efforts should focus on integrating advanced technologies and sustainable economic practices to enhance innovative capabilities further, ensuring that NGEIs contribute meaningfully to knowledge-based economies.

#### References

1. Butson, R., & Spronken-Smith, R. (2023). AI and its implications for research in higher education: a critical dialogue. *Tandfonline*
2. Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: the state of the field. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20, Article 22. *Educational Technology Journal*
3. Eady, M. J. (2023). Preparing Students for the Future of Work and the Role of the Virtual. *Springer*
4. Fu, X., & Shi, L. (2022). Direction of innovation in developing countries and its driving forces. *World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) Economic Research Working Paper Series*, (69).
5. Guthrie, J., & Neumann, R. (2007). Economic and non-financial performance indicators in universities: The establishment of a performance-driven system for Australian higher education. *Public Management Review*, 9(2), 231-252.
6. Hadidi, H. E., & Kirby, D. A. (2015). Universities and innovation in a factor-driven economy: The Egyptian case. *Industry and Higher Education*, 29(2), 151-160.
7. Hussain, W. M. H. W., Ab Rahman, M. N., Zainol, Z. A., & InayahYaakub, N. (2014). Mechanism and Government Initiatives Promoting Innovation and Commercialization of University Invention. *VOL. 22 (S) JAN. 2014*, 131.
8. Johnson, L., & Anderson, M. (2022). Artificial intelligence in the creative industries: a review. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 55, 1-27. *Springer*
9. Lee, K., & Kim, H. (2021). The role of Artificial Intelligence in Education: Current Trends and Future Perspectives.
10. Lin, M. (2023). Research on the Measure and Mechanism of Green Finance Promoting Highquality Economic Development. *Modern Economic Science*, 45(3), 101–113. <https://doi.org/10.20069/j.cnki.DJKX.202303008>
11. Ma, R. (2024). Research on the Impact Mechanism of Smart City Construction on Economic Growth—An Analysis Based on the Schumpeterian Innovation Theory Framework. *Systems*, 12(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12070251>
12. Ma, S. (2023). Analysis of the spatio-temporal coupling coordination mechanism supporting economic resilience and high-quality economic development in the urban agglomeration in the middle reaches of the Yangtze River. *PLoS ONE*, 18(2). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0281643>
13. Popov, E. V. E., Veretennikova, A. Y. E., & Omonov, Z. K. (2016). Institutional mechanism for shaping social innovation. *Ekonomicheskie i Sotsialnye Peremeny*, (47), 57.
14. Powell, P., & Nayak, B. S. (2022). Creative Business Education: Exploring the Contours of Pedagogical Praxis. *Springer*
15. OECD. (2023). PISA 2022 Creative Thinking Framework. Retrieved from *OECD iLibrary*
16. Smith, J. (2023). Economic Models and Policy Analysis in Higher Education: A Conceptual Framework. *Springer*

- 
17. UNCTAD. (2022). Creative Economy Outlook 2022. Retrieved from [UNCTAD](#)
  18. Wang, T. (2023). The knowledge dynamics of regional economic development and its multi-locational mechanism. *Progress in Geography*, 42(4), 782–795. <https://doi.org/10.18306/dlkxjz.2023.04.013>
  19. Williams, J., Pollard, E., Cook, J., & Byford, M. (2022). Enhancing Creative Education. Creative Industries Policy and Evidence Centre. [Pec Academy](#)
  20. Yang, X. (2022). Study on the evolution driving mechanism of IPM to regional economic development. *Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering*, 19(7), 6699–6711. <https://doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2022315>