IJIAETInternational Journal of Innovative
Analyses and Emerging Technology

| e-ISSN: 2792-4025 | http://openaccessjournals.eu | Volume: 1 Issue: 5

Basis of Rational Values of Chisellie Softener Parameters

S. Kochkarov (PhD), S. Eksanova, M. Mirzaabdullaev (Masters)

Based on our theoretical and experimental studies, the main factors affecting the performance of the leveler were identified and the limits of variation were determined. That is, [1,2] are the main parameters of the chisel softener (see figure):

- > the angle of installation of the compactor of the leveler relative to the horizon g;
- > the mounting angle of the leveler relative to the compactor β ;
- \blacktriangleright the length of the leveling base surface $A_{\rm T}$;
- \blacktriangleright the vertical compressive force applied to the straightener $Q_{\rm T}$.
- \blacktriangleright aggregate movement speed V_M

To determine the rational values of these parameters that ensure the performance of the required level of performance at the specified speeds of the unit with minimal energy consumption, five-factor experiments were conducted according to Box-Bennin's B_5 plan [3,4].



Basic parameters of chisel softener

To determine their optimal values, five-factor experiments were performed according to the Hartley-5 (Ha_5) plan. In this case, the height of the leveler was assumed to be constant 16 cm. The following table shows the conditional designation of the factors and the limits of change.

The evaluation criteria were the degree of leveling of the field surface (V_1) , soil density (V_2) and degree of compaction (ie the amount of fractions smaller than 25 mm) (V_3) and the specific gravity resistance of the leveler (V_4) .

ISSN 2792-4025 (online), Published under Volume: 1 Issue: 5 in October-2021 Copyright (c) 2021 Author (s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

IJIAETInternational Journal of Innovative
Analyses and Emerging Technology

| e-ISSN: 2792-4025 | http://openaccessjournals.eu | Volume: 1 Issue: 5

Factors and limits of their change

Nº	Naming of factors	Determination of factors		Levels of factors			Changing
		real	conditional	low	basic	high	interval
				-1	0	+1	
1	The angle of installation of the compactor of the leveler relative to the horizon, grad.	γ	X _I	25	30	35	5
2	The angle of inclination of the straightening part with respect to	0	V	110	120	150	20
	the compaction part, grad.	β	<i>X</i> ₂	110	130	150	20
3	The length of the base surface of the leveler, cm.	A_{T}	X_3	6,0	9,0	12,0	3,0
4	Vertical pressure force applied to the straightener, kH / m.	$Q_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{T}}$	X4	2,0	2,5	3,0	0,5
5	The speed of movement of the unit, m / s .	V _M	<i>X</i> ₅	1,5	2,0	2,5	0,5

Тажриба натижаларига «регрессион тахлиллар» дастури бўйича ишлов берилди [5,6]. Бунда дисперсиянинг бир хиллигини аниклашда Koxpeн criterion, the Student's criterion was used to estimate the value of the coefficients of the regression equations, the Fisher criterion was used to assess the adequacy of the obtained regression equations.

The results of the experiment were processed in the prescribed manner and the following regression equations were obtained, which adequately represent the evaluation criteria:

by the degree of leveling of the field surface,%

$$y_{1} = 92.815 + 1.071x_{1} - 2.043x_{2} - 1.985 x_{3} + 4.434 x_{4} + 3.199x_{5} - 3.086 x_{1}^{2} - 1.181x_{1}x_{2} - 1.247x_{1}x_{3} + 3.652x_{2}^{2} - 3.833x_{3}^{2} - 2.301x_{4}^{2} - 2.590x_{4}x_{5} - 2.802x_{5}^{2};$$
(1)

on the density of the soil, Γ/cM^3

 $Y_{2} = 1,133 + 0,006x_{1} + 0,026x_{2} + 0,040x_{3} + 0,095x_{4} - 0,082x_{5} + 0,0036x_{1}^{2} - 0,060x_{2}^{2} - 0,058x_{4}^{2} - 0,046x_{5}^{2};$ (2)

according to the degree of erosion of the soil, %

$$Y_{3} = 88,043 + 0,801 x_{1} + 0,807 x_{2} + 5,352 x_{3} + 5,650 x_{4} + +3,500 x_{5} - 2,900 x_{1}^{2} - 4,529 x_{2}^{2} - 4,032 x_{3}^{2} - 3,351 x_{4}^{2} - 3,400 x_{5}^{2};$$
(3)

on the specific resistance of the rectifier, $\kappa H/M$

 $P_{c} = 1,158 + 0,012x_{1} - 0,061x_{2} - 0,099 x_{3} + 0,232 x_{4} + 0,193 x_{5} + 0,056 x_{1}^{2} + 0,072 x_{5}^{2}.$ (4)

Analyzing these obtained regression equations, we can note the following:

- > all factors had a significant impact on the evaluation criteria;
- ➤ with the increase in the angle of installation of the compactor of the leveler relative to the horizon, all the parameters changed according to the laws of the bubble parabola, ie they first increased and then decreased;
- The increase in the angle of inclination of the leveling part of the leveler relative to the compaction part led to an improvement in the level of field leveling and the quality of soil compaction, as well as a decrease in soil density. The gravitational resistance of the leveler decreases with increasing of this parameter;

ISSN 2792-4025 (online), Published under Volume: 1 Issue: 5 in October-2021

Copyright (c) 2021 Author (s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

IJIAETInternational Journal of Innovative
Analyses and Emerging Technology

| e-ISSN: 2792-4025 | http://openaccessjournals.eu | Volume: 1 Issue: 5

- with the increase of the leveling base surface, its quality indicators first increased and then decreased, the energy index, ie the resistance to gravity decreased;
- > all parameters increase with the increase of vertical compressive strength applied to the leveler;
- ➤ with increasing speed, the leveling of the field surface and the level of soil compaction improved, its density decreased, the gravitational resistance of the leveler increased.

(1) - (4) Regression equations are solved provided that the criterion V₁ is not less than 90%, criterion V₂ is not less than 80%, criterion V₃ is in the range of 1.10-1.15 g / cm3 and criterion V₄ is the minimum value, the working speed is 1.7-2, In the range of 2 m / s, the leveler was found to have the following parameters: γ =29-30°, β =122-130°, A=10-12 cm, Q=2,48-2,50 KH/m/

Омилларнинг бу қийматларида Y_1 , Y_2 , Y_3 ва мезонлар мос равишда 90 %, 1,10-1,15 gr/sм³, 85,00-86,98 % ва 1,05-1,09 кН/т ни ташкил этади.

At these values of the factors V_1 , V_2 , V_3 and the criteria are 90%, 1.10-1.15 gr / sm³, 85.00-86.98% and 1.05-1.09 kH / m, respectively.

This means that the leveling angle of the chisel softener relative to the horizon is 29-30 °, so that the leveling part of the chisel softener can work at the required level of the soil at low operating speeds of 1.7-2.2 m / s (6-8 km / h). the relative mounting angle is 122-130°, the length of the base surface is 10-12 cm, the vertical compressive force applied to the leveler should be in the range of 2.48-2.50 kH / m.

REFERENCES

- 1. Tokhtako'ziev A., Kalimbetov M., Kochkarov S., Mansurov M. Leveling justifying the geometric shape of the working surface of the compactor compactor // Mechanical problems. -Tashkent 2011. № 1. -B. 53-55.
- Tokhtako'ziev A., Kalimbetov M., Kochkarov S. Leveling justification of density parameters // Agro ilm. -Tashkent, 2011. - №2 (18). - P.56-57.
- 3. Мельников С.В., Алёшкин В.Я., Рощин П.М. Планирование эксперимента в исследованиях сельскохозяйственных процессов. Л.: Колос, 1980. 166 с.
- 4. Tst 63.03.2001. Испытания сельскохозяйственной техники. Методы энергетической оценки машин. Ташкент: 2001. 59 с.
- 5. Аугамбаев М., Иванов А.З., Терехов Ю.И. Основы планирования научно-исследовательского эксперимента. Ташкент: Ўкитувчи, 1993. 336 б.
- A.Tukhtakuziev., S.Kuchkorov. Research of Vertical Vibrations of the Chemeline Level Elevator// International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Engineering and Technology Vol. 6, Issue 4, April 2019. pp. 8768-8770.